

Prevalence of chronic pain in the elderly Polish population – results of the PolSenior study

Elzbieta Kozak-Szkopek¹, Katarzyna Broczek¹, Przemyslaw Slusarczyk², Katarzyna Wieczorowska-Tobis³, Alicja Klich-Raczka⁴, Aleksandra Szybalska², Malgorzata Mossakowska²

¹Department of Geriatrics, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

²International Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, Warsaw, Poland

³Department of Palliative Care, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

⁴Department of Internal Medicine and Gerontology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

Submitted: 20 February 2015

Accepted: 5 July 2015

Arch Med Sci 2017; 13, 5: 1197–1206

DOI: 10.5114/aoms.2015.55270

Copyright © 2016 Termedia & Banach

Corresponding author:

Elzbieta Kozak-Szkopek PhD

Department of Geriatrics

Medical University of Warsaw

4 Oczki St

02-007 Warsaw, Poland

Phone: +48 22 622 96 82

E-mail: elzbieta.kozak-

szkopek@wum.edu.pl

Abstract

Introduction: Pain is the most common complaint of elderly people. In Poland, no large studies on the prevalence of chronic pain in the elderly were conducted until recently.

Material and methods: The study was a part of the PolSenior project, a cross-sectional multidisciplinary study on ageing of the Polish population, and included a randomly selected group of 716 people aged 55–59 years, and 4979 people over 65 years. The survey was conducted through a standardized questionnaire. An evaluation of pain occurrence, location, intensity and coexistence of pains in relation to gender, age and use of health care was performed.

Results: Chronic pain affected 42.0% of respondents aged 65 years and over (48.6% of women and 35.8% of men) as compared to 35.2% of the pre-elderly. The most common pain locations were the lumbar region (51.6%) and knees (41.0%). The average number of pain sites was 3.1 ± 2.3 . Average intensity of pain was 6.2 points on the VAS scale in people over 65 years and 6.0 points in the pre-elderly (NS). Average pain intensity increased significantly from 5.7 points in patients reporting pain in one place to 7.2 points in those reporting six pain sites. Elderly respondents with pain compared to those without pain more frequently reported physician visits performed at least once a month (54.6% vs. 48.4%, $p < 0.001$).

Conclusions: Polish elderly most frequently complained of low back and lower limb pain. In respondents reporting many sites of pain, an increase in the intensity of pain was observed. Elderly patients with chronic pain often use medical care.

Key words: chronic pain, elderly population, PolSenior study, representative survey, advanced age.

Introduction

Old age is characterized by the coexistence of health problems resulting from the aging process and the presence of many chronic diseases affecting individual systems and organs. In elderly people, the most frequent diseases are cardiovascular system diseases, but the most common complaints are of pain. Changes associated with aging and diseases of the musculoskeletal and nervous systems lead to increased incidence of pain with age. The number of people with chronic pain will continue

to rise due to the lengthening of life expectancy and an increase in the proportion of older people in society [1].

The problem of chronic pain in the elderly is underestimated. Reported pain is often underestimated by both caregivers and physicians, and is perceived as belonging to the image of old age. The perception of chronic pain causes mood disorders, leading to a reduction of life activity [2, 3]. Chronic pain especially in the elderly is a risk factor for worsening mobility, increasing the risk of falls and their consequences [4]. Experiencing chronic pain worsens the patient's functional abilities and contributes to dependence on caregivers [5, 6]. The occurrence of chronic pain results in the overuse of analgesics and increases the frequency of health care utilization [7–9]. It can also significantly impair the quality of life of older people [10, 11].

Research on the prevalence of chronic pain typically involves the adult population [12]. In a pan-European study involving people over 18 years of age from 15 European countries and Israel, the prevalence of chronic pain ranged from 12% to 30% of respondents, and was the highest in Norway (30%), Poland (27%), and Italy (26%), and the lowest in Spain (12%) and the UK (13%) [13]. A report published in 2011, assessing the prevalence of pain in the European Union, based on data from an online database of the population of the UK, France, Spain, Germany and Italy, showed that one in five adult Europeans suffers from pain [14].

The prevalence of pain in the elderly has been evaluated in several European countries, Asia and the Americas. The results of these studies indicate a wide variation of pain occurrence, ranging from 25.2% in the Australian elderly population [15], through nearly 40% in the population of Spain [16] and the United States [17], to over 80% of seniors in the population of Brazil [18].

In Poland, no extensive studies on the prevalence and characteristics of chronic pain in the elderly have been conducted until recently.

The aim of the study was to assess the prevalence of chronic pain in the Polish elderly population and to characterize pains in terms of their occurrence, location, intensity, and depending on the age and sex of the respondents.

Material and methods

The study was conducted in 5695 respondents, including 716 people aged 55–59 years and in 4979 people over the age of 65 years who participated in the PolSenior study, the largest ever cross-sectional multidisciplinary study of the elderly population in Poland. The study group was randomly selected from the population of Poles by a three-step schema (step 1 – municipality, step 2

– a village in the rural areas or street in urban areas, step 3 – an address from the Universal Electronic System for Registration of the Population) to ensure representativeness of the sample. Groups by age included one cohort of pre-elderly adults (55–59 years) and six age cohorts of elderly people (65–69, 70–74, 75–79, 80–84, 85–89 and 90 and over). An equal number of respondents in designated age groups and an equal number of men and women in each age range were assumed. The PolSenior project methodology has been described in detail elsewhere [19].

The fieldwork was conducted from July 2008 to May 2010 by using a standardized questionnaire, filled out by a trained nurse during two visits to the respondent's place of residence.

Respondents answered the following questions about pain:

- Have you felt a nagging pain for longer than three months?
- Where it is localized (head, shoulder, neck, upper back, low back, hand, hip, knee, foot, leg, other location)? Respondents had the possibility of identifying multiple sites of pain.
- Which of these places is the most painful? It was necessary to identify one of these places.

Answers on pain perception were obtained from 2875 out of 2899 male respondents (99.2% response rate) and from 2776 out of 2796 female respondents (99.3% response rate).

Respondents who answered affirmatively to the question about the perception of pain were asked to rate the pain intensity on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is no pain and 10 is the greatest pain imaginable (Visual Analog Scale – VAS). The assessment of pain intensity was related to the currently most painful place. Data on pain intensity were obtained from 1097 out of 1162 women (94.4%) and 863 out of 911 men (94.7%) aged 65 years or more and from 140 out of 141 women (99.3%) and 109 out of 110 men (99.1%) aged 55–59 years.

Respondents were also asked how often they usually contacted their family doctor. The answer was obtained from 82.2% of respondents who answered the question about pain. This relatively low percentage was probably due to the fact that the question was placed in a section of the questionnaire performed during the second visit to the respondent, which did not always occur.

The study design with the number of participants and missing data is presented in Figure 1. An evaluation of all data acquired from respondents including pain occurrence, pain location, the coexistence of different sites of pain and pain intensity in relation to gender, age and the use of health care was performed.

The PolSenior project was approved by the Bioethics Commission of the Medical University of




Figure 1. Characteristics of study groups

Silesia, Katowice. Each respondent or their caregiver signed an informed consent form.

A detailed analysis of such questions as prevalence of pain in correlation with socio-economic factors (marital status, education, occupation, place of living), functional performance, cognitive status and comorbidities was not addressed in this work and will be included in future publications.

Statistical analysis

The results were statistically analyzed using StatSoft Statistica. The significance of differences in mean values was tested using ANOVA. To analyze the prevalence of pain the χ^2 test was used, and, for age groups, the Cochran-Armitage test

for trend was applied. Because of the pairwise deletion of missing values, each analysis took into account a slightly different number of observations. To calculate the dissemination of pain in the whole group, statistical weights for reproducing the structure of the elderly population in Poland were used.

Results

Prevalence of chronic pain

The incidence of pain in the surveyed women and men of the PolSenior population in each age group is shown in Table I. Significantly more subjects aged 65 and older reported experiencing chronic pain, compared with those aged 55–59

Table I. Prevalence of chronic pain in women and men in different age groups of the PolSenior population

Age group [years]	N ^a (100%)	Total		N ^a (100%)	Men		N ^a (100%)	Women		P-value
		n ^b	%		n ^b	%		n ^b	%	
55–59	714	251	35.2	331	110	33.2	383	141	36.8	NS
65+	4937	2073	42.0	2544	911	35.8	2393	1162	48.6	< 0.001
65–69	778	328	42.2	373	146	39.1	405	182	44.9	NS
70–74	920	390	42.4	480	170	35.4	440	220	50.0	< 0.001
75–79	840	352	41.9	440	155	35.2	400	197	49.3	< 0.001
80–84	785	347	44.2	417	155	37.2	368	192	52.2	< 0.001
85–89	854	372	43.6	462	171	37.0	392	201	51.3	< 0.001
90 and over	760	284	37.4	372	114	30.7	388	170	43.8	< 0.001

N^a – number of participants, n^b – number of participants with chronic pain.

years (42.0% vs. 35.2%, $p < 0.001$). Elderly women were significantly more likely to report pain compared to men in each age group. The highest percentage of people reporting pain was found among women aged 80–84 years (52.2%) and among men aged 65–69 years (39.1%), and the lowest in the group of women and men aged 90 years and more (43.8% and 30.7%, respectively).

After applying statistical weights to the data, it was calculated that in the Polish population of people aged 65 and over, chronic pain affects 43.8% of people – 48.2% of women and 36.5% of men.

Location of pain

The most common location of pain among respondents with pain aged 65 years and more, both women and men, was the lumbar region (low back pain) (51.6%), and the least frequently reported was hand pain (17.2%) (Table II).

The prevalence of pain in relation to particular locations in subjects with pain in the age groups is presented in Table III. In the group of people between 65 and 79 years of age, the most prevalent was low back pain (55.9%), followed by legs (42.0%), knees (40.5%) and hips (29.2%), while in the group of over 80-year-olds the most common location was the legs (52.6%), followed by the lumbar area (47.1%) and knees (41.6%). Interestingly, the incidence of low back pain was highest in pre-elderly people (60.0%) and decreased in subsequent age groups to 44.4% in those over 90 years old ($p < 0.001$, χ^2 test for trend). In contrast, the incidence of leg pain was lowest in people aged 55–59 years (39.2%) and increased with

age from 40.9% in the group of 65–69-year-olds to over 50% in people over 85 years ($p < 0.001$, χ^2 test for trend).

Pains in the hips and knees were nearly twice as common in women as in men (hips: 33.3% vs. 21.7%, knees: 49.9% vs. 29.7%, respectively). Knee pain was mostly reported by women aged 70–84 years, and men aged 65–69 years and those over 80 years.

Headaches were not significantly more frequent in people aged 55–59 years compared with those aged more than 65 years (27.6% vs. 23.8%, NS). However, among people over 65 years the incidence of headaches remained at a similar level in subsequent age groups (20.1–24.5%) and grew rapidly in people over 90 years old (35.9%, $p < 0.001$, χ^2 test for trend).

After applying statistical weights to forecast the data from the PolSenior population to the entire elderly Polish population, the lumbar region remained the most common site of pain (55.4%), followed by the knees (44.4%), legs (43.7%), hips (31.2%), upper back (26.5%), shoulder (24.9%) and head (21.6%).

Co-occurrence of pain in multiple locations

In the study population of over 65-year-olds with pain, 1456 (70.3%) reported pain in at least two places, 993 (47.9%) in at least three places, 683 (33.0%) in at least four places, 467 (22.5%) in at least five places, 316 (15.3%) in at least six places, and 200 (9.7%) patients in at least seven places. No differences were observed in the coexistence of pain in multiple locations (at least two sites of pain) in people aged 65 years and more, compared

Table II. Location of pain in people with chronic pain aged 65 and more of the PolSenior population

Pain locations 65+	N ^a (100%)	Total		N ^a (100%)	Men		N ^a (100%)	Women		P-value
		n ^b	%		n ^b	%		n ^b	%	
Head	2071	492	23.8	909	177	19.5	1162	315	27.1	< 0.001
Shoulder		471	22.7		171	18.8		300	25.8	< 0.001
Neck		434	21.0		152	16.7		282	24.3	< 0.001
Upper back		544	26.3		197	21.7		347	29.9	< 0.001
Lower back		1069	51.6		412	45.3		657	56.5	< 0.001
Hand		356	17.2		110	12.1		246	21.2	< 0.001
Hip		584	28.2		197	21.7		387	33.3	< 0.001
Knee		850	41.0		270	29.7		580	49.9	< 0.001
Foot		434	21.0		161	17.7		273	23.5	0.001
Leg		977	47.2		403	44.3		574	49.4	0.022
Others		229	11.1		95	10.5		134	11.5	NS

N^a – number of participants who reported chronic pain and pain locations, n^b – number of participants who reported chronic pain in specified locations.

Table III. Prevalence of pain in relation to particular locations in subjects with pain in the age groups of the PolSenior population

Age group [years]	Location of pain											Total
	Head	Shoulder	Neck	Upper back	Lower back	Hand	Hip	Knee	Foot	Leg	Others	
55–59	<i>n</i>	65	60	72	150	52	65	77	45	98	31	250
	%	27.6	24.0	28.8	60.0	20.8	26.0	30.8	18.0	39.2	12.4	
65–69	<i>n</i>	74	71	75	184	52	98	126	65	134	43	328
	%	20.1	21.6	22.9	56.1	15.9	29.9	38.4	19.8	40.9	13.1	
70–74	<i>n</i>	97	87	120	222	79	119	164	83	175	47	390
	%	21.5	22.3	30.8	56.9	20.3	30.5	42.1	21.3	44.9	12.1	
75–79	<i>n</i>	89	67	84	191	48	95	143	64	140	35	350
	%	22.0	19.1	24.0	54.6	13.7	27.1	40.9	18.3	40.0	10.0	
80–84	<i>n</i>	83	73	85	160	59	98	157	64	174	35	347
	%	20.7	21.0	24.5	46.1	17.0	28.2	45.2	18.4	50.1	10.1	
85–99	<i>n</i>	65	77	99	186	64	91	152	90	202	32	372
	%	24.5	20.7	26.6	50.0	17.2	24.5	40.9	24.2	54.3	8.6	
≥ 90	<i>n</i>	63	59	81	126	54	83	108	68	152	37	284
	%	35.9	20.8	28.5	44.4	19.0	29.2	38.0	23.9	53.5	13.0	
Total	<i>N</i>	561	536	616	1219	408	649	927	479	1075	260	2321

Table IV. Number of pain sites in subjects with chronic pain in the PolSenior population

Age group [years]	Total		Men		Women		P-value
	Mean	± SD ^a	Mean	± SD ^a	Mean	± SD ^a	
55–59	3.1	2.4	2.7	2.1	3.5	2.6	0.016
65+	3.1	2.3	2.6	1.9	3.5	2.4	< 0.001
65–69	3.0	2.1	2.5	1.9	3.4	2.2	< 0.001
70–74	3.3	2.4	2.6	1.9	3.8	2.5	< 0.001
75–79	3.0	2.1	2.4	1.7	3.4	2.2	< 0.001
80–84	3.1	2.2	2.8	2.0	3.3	2.3	0.019
85–89	3.1	2.2	2.4	1.7	3.7	2.5	< 0.001
90 and over	3.3	2.6	2.9	2.2	3.5	2.8	NS

SD^a – standard deviation.

with the pre-elderly (70.3% vs. 66.8%, NS). Among respondents aged over 65 years co-occurrence of pain in different locations was significantly more frequently reported by women than by men (76.7% vs. 62.2%, $p < 0.005$). The average number of reported sites of pain among women compared to men in each age group was significantly higher and did not change with age (Table IV).

Participants of the PolSenior study reported an average of 3.1 locations of chronic pain. The average number of painful places calculated after applying the sample weighting for the entire population of Polish people aged 65 and more is 3.2 ± 2.3 (median 2; 95% confidence interval for the mean: 3.1–3.3).

Intensity of pain

Table V shows the mean pain severity in subjects with chronic pain. The average intensity of pain was 6.2 points on the VAS scale in patients over 65 years and 6.0 points in those aged 55–59 years (NS). The intensity of pain in people over 65 years was significantly higher in women than

in men (6.5 points vs. 5.9 points, $p < 0.001$). Women felt significantly stronger pain than men in the 5-year age cohorts of 70 to 89 years of age, while in the younger age groups and among people over 90 years, there was no difference between the sexes. The intensity of pain in subsequent age cohorts of people over 65 years of age, men as well as women, did not change significantly.

Mild pain (1–4 points on the VAS scale) was felt by 20.3% of all respondents (16.9% of women and 24.6% of men, $p < 0.001$), moderate pain (5–7 points on the VAS scale) was felt by 48.5% of patients (46.4% and 51.1% respectively, $p < 0.05$), whereas strong pain (8–10 points on the VAS scale) was felt by 31.3% of the respondents (36.7% and 24.3% respectively, $p < 0.001$). There was no difference in the incidence of mild, moderate, and severe pain with age, in both women and men.

Pain intensity and pain location

The highest intensity of pain was reported by study subjects with pain in the hips (6.5 points

Table V. Pain intensity measured by visual analog scale (VAS) in subjects with chronic pain in the PolSenior population

Age group [years]	Total		Men		Women		P-value
	Mean	± SD ^a	Mean	± SD ^a	Mean	± SD ^a	
55–59	6.0	2.0	5.8	2.1	6.2	1.9	NS
65+	6.2	2.1	5.9	2.0	6.5	2.1	< 0.001
65–69	6.1	2.1	5.9	2.0	6.3	2.1	NS
70–74	6.3	2.1	5.8	1.9	6.7	2.1	< 0.001
75–79	6.3	2.0	5.8	2.0	6.6	2.0	< 0.001
80–84	6.4	2.1	6.0	2.1	6.7	2.0	< 0.005
85–89	6.1	2.1	5.7	1.9	6.4	2.1	< 0.005
90 and over	6.1	2.2	6.0	1.9	6.3	2.3	NS

SD^a – standard deviation.

on the VAS scale), followed by pain in the knees (6.4 points), feet (6.4 points), neck (6.3 points), legs (6.3 points), lumbosacral region (6.2 points), hands (6.0 points) and upper back (6.0 points). The observed differences between the intensities of pain in different locations showed no statistically significant relationship. Analysis of variance showed a significant difference only between the intensity of pain in the hip as compared with shoulder pain ($p < 0.005$).

Pain intensity and pain co-occurrence in multiple locations

Self-assessment of pain intensity was analyzed in relation to the currently most painful site depending on the number of pain locations reported by the subjects (Figure 2). An increase in pain intensity with the number of pain sites was observed ($p < 0.001$, ANOVA). Average pain intensity increased significantly from 5.7 points in patients reporting pain in one place up to 7.2 points in those reporting six or more painful places.

Pain and the use of health care

People with pain aged 65 years and more compared to those without pain significantly more often reported seeking the advice of a family doctor a few times a month (54.6% vs. 48.4%, $p < 0.001$). The proportion of people reporting seeking a health practitioner's advice only a few times a year was significantly higher among those reporting no pain compared with those with pain (48.4% vs. 43.6%, $p < 0.005$). Contacting a family doctor less than once a year was observed in 3.2% of those not reporting pain and 1.8% of those with pain ($p < 0.005$).

Discussion

The results of studies on the prevalence of chronic pain in the elderly are quite divergent due to different criteria for chronic pain, pain intensity scales used, the populations studied (residents of their own homes, senior homes, long-term care institutions) or research methods (questionnaire and medical surveys, personal user surveys, telephone surveys or only on the basis of medical records and various registers). The nature of the PolSenior study was a survey conducted by a trained nurse at the respondent's place of residence.

Most projects describe groups of subjects in accordance with the age distribution in the population, resulting in a low proportion of respondents in the oldest age groups [20–22]. The PolSenior study used equally-numbered, 5-year age cohorts. The size of the studied cohorts allowed the assessment of trends due to age, with particular emphasis on the oldest age groups. The use of statistical weights for the population age




Figure 2. Relation of pain intensity to pain co-occurrence in multiple locations in subjects with chronic pain in the PolSenior population

structure helped to determine the prevalence of pain in Polish elderly. In the group surveyed within the PolSenior study, 42% of respondents aged 65 years and more reported chronic pain, which means that 43.8% of the elderly population of Poles suffers from chronic pain.

Similar prevalence of pain was found in the senior population of Spain (39.5%) [16], Norway (32.5%) [23], the United States (39.8%) [17], and the United Kingdom (46%) [24]. A higher prevalence of pain in elderly patients was observed in the population of Korea (60%) [20], and lower in the Australian population (25.2%) [15]. Extremely high incidence of pain (71.4%) was found among the population of Catalonia [25]. Similar values (70–80%) were observed among residents of senior homes and nursing homes in Poland [26]. It should be emphasized that in the PolSenior population, only 1% of respondents were institutionalized.

In the present study, chronic pain was more frequently reported in women than in men in each age group. The most frequent complaints of pain were reported by women aged 80–84 years (every second respondent) and men aged 65–69 years (four out of ten respondents) and, interestingly, least often by men and women aged 90 years and more (every third man and every fourth woman). In a Canadian study [27], the occurrence of pain increased in successive age groups and was greatest in nonagenarians (37.5% of the women and 28% of men). The prevalence of chronic musculoskeletal pain among British seniors attained a maximum (50%) in the group aged 55–64 years, while in people aged 65–74 years it was 42%, and in people over 75 years it was 46% [24]. In the Australian study [28], as in the Polish study, the incidence of chronic pain was the most common in men aged 65–69 and women aged 80–84 years.

The topography of pain changes with age. Studies show that middle-aged people feel pain

most frequently in the lumbosacral region, shoulders, upper limbs, and head, whereas in the elderly the pain resides dominantly in the lower limbs [17, 22, 29].

In the PolSenior study, the incidence of low back pain was highest in the pre-elderly group (55–59 years – 60%) and lowest in those over 90 years (44.4%). However, the occurrence of lower limb pain grew with age and was most frequent in 90-year-olds (53.5%). In the Korean elderly population, back pain was more frequent (72.6%) than pain of the lower extremities (59%) [20].

In contrast, British [24] and Spanish [16] elderly subjects most frequently reported pain of the lower limbs. In the Finnish group of seniors aged 75 years and more, the most common site of pain was the lower limbs (40%), reported almost twice as frequently as the lumbosacral region (21%) [30].

It seems that the occurrence of headaches in the elderly is underestimated [31]. In the British study, it was observed that the prevalence of headaches was at a similar level in people between 18 and 54 years of age, and decreased to 5% in the oldest age group aged 75–101 years [24]. The Spanish study found that headaches were most common in those aged 18–29 years (29%) and least common in people over 65 years (7.5%) [16]. In contrast, in the PolSenior study population, every fifth person aged 65–69 years and every third person aged 90 years and more suffered from headaches. Similarly, seniors in the Catalan population aged over 85 years experienced headaches more often than younger elderly (34.9% vs. 32%) [25]. The occurrence of head and neck pains may be a significant problem in the elderly, as they were reported by 33.3% and 54%, respectively, of the Catalan population [25] as compared to 23.8% and 21%, respectively, of the PolSenior study population.

The coexistence of many ailments is characteristic for the elderly. This also applies to feeling pains in different locations. In the PolSenior study, seven out of ten people with chronic pain reported pain in at least two locations (70.3%), every second respondent in at least three places (47.9%), one-third in at least four sites (33%), and every fourth person in at least five locations (22.5%). Almost every tenth person reported pain in at least seven locations. The greater the number of sites of pain reported, the greater was the predominance of women over men. Similarly, in the NorStOP study, older women compared to men were more likely to report the coexistence of pain in more than seven locations [22]. In a study of Korean seniors, pain in three or more locations was reported by 44% of women compared with 18% of men [20]. Nearly two-thirds (63%) of people with chronic pain among adult residents of Olmsted County (USA) also reported multiple sites of pain [29].

Participants of the PolSenior study reported an average of 3.1 locations of chronic pain, and respondents of the Catalan study an average of 4.4 [25]. Among both the Polish and Catalan study population, the number of painful areas was significantly higher among women than men across the age spectrum.

There were no significant changes in pain intensity with age. Average pain intensity was quite high (6.2 points), every other person with chronic pain had moderate pain, and one-third had pain of high intensity. Mild to moderate pain was reported more frequently by men than women. The strongest pain was reported by those with pain of the hips, knees, and lumbosacral region, and the weakest in case of headaches. Higher pain intensity was reported by people who suffered from the co-occurrence of pain in several locations.

The study of pain intensity in the Finnish population aged over 75 years showed that 62% of respondents with chronic pain felt pain of a strong or moderate degree [30]. Similarly, high intensity of pain was reported by surveyed Canadian seniors: 55% of respondents with chronic pain felt moderate pain and 18% severe pain [32]. In a study of the Brazilian elderly, moderate pain was reported by 36% of respondents, with strong and very strong pain reported by as many as 34% of respondents [18]. Among inhabitants of Danish residential homes, 85% reported pain intensity of 4 points or higher according to the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) [33]. Most research shows that pain intensity is greater in people over 65 years of age than in younger ones, and higher in women than in men [34]. However, a recent study conducted in the Netherlands showed similar back pain intensity in age groups of 55–74 and 75 years and more [35].

In the PolSenior study, people with chronic pain significantly more often sought the advice of a family doctor than those without pain. The problem of pain in a family doctor's practice is very prevalent, but still underestimated [36]. Family doctors spend very little time identifying the problem of pain in the elderly [37]. Questions about the occurrence of pain, its location, intensity, and impact on daily functioning should therefore always be taken into account in interviews with older medical patients [38].

Future research including adequate representation of the oldest old, as in the PolSenior project, is needed, since it is the fastest growing segment of the older population.

In conclusion, the aging of the population is associated with high incidence of chronic pain, especially in women. Elderly people in Poland most frequently report pain of the lumbosacral region and lower limbs. Elderly people, especially women,

are characterized by coexistence of pain in multiple locations. The intensity of pain increases with the number of pain sites. Elderly patients with chronic pain more often seek medical advice.

Acknowledgments

Implemented under publicly funded project entitled “Medical, psychological, sociological and economic aspects of aging in Poland” – PolSenior, no. PBZ-MEIN-9/2/2006, The Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Ahacic K, Kareholt I. Prevalence of musculoskeletal pain in the general Swedish population from 1968 to 2002: age, period, and cohort patterns. *Pain* 2010; 151: 206-14.
- Mossey JM, Gallagher RM, Tirumalasetti F. The effects of pain and depression on physical functioning in elderly residents of a continuing care retirement community. *Pain Med* 2000; 1: 340-50.
- Oladeji BD, Makanjuola VA, Esan OB, Gureje O. Chronic pain conditions and depression in the Ibadan Study of Ageing. *Int Psychogeriatr* 2011; 23: 923-9.
- Leveille SG, Jones RN, Kiely DK, et al. Chronic musculoskeletal pain and the occurrence of falls in an older population. *JAMA* 2009; 302: 2214-21.
- Muszalik M, Kedziora-Kornatowska K, Kornatowski T. Functional assessment and health-related quality of life (HRQOL) of elderly patients on the basis of the functional assessment of chronic illness therapy (FACIT)-F questionnaire. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2009; 49: 404-8.
- Torvik K, Kaasa S, Kirkevold O, Rustoen T. Pain and quality of life among residents of Norwegian nursing homes. *Pain Manag Nurs* 2010; 11: 35-44.
- Blyth FM, March LM, Brnabic AJ, Cousins MJ. Chronic pain and frequent use of health care. *Pain* 2004; 111: 51-8.
- McLachlan AJ, Bath S, Naganathan V, et al. Clinical pharmacology of analgesic medicines in older people: impact of frailty and cognitive impairment. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2011; 71: 351-64.
- Shah RC, Buchman AS, Boyle PA, et al. Musculoskeletal pain is associated with incident mobility disability in community-dwelling elders. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2011; 66: 82-8.
- Ordu Gokkaya NK, Gokce-Kutsal Y, Borman P, et al. Pain and quality of life (QoL) in elderly: the Turkish experience. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2012; 55: 357-62.
- Ozturk A, Simsek TT, Yumin ET, Sertel M, Yumin M. The relationship between physical, functional capacity and quality of life (QoL) among elderly people with a chronic disease. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2011; 53: 278-83.
- Reid KJ, Harker J, Bala MM, et al. Epidemiology of chronic non-cancer pain in Europe: narrative review of prevalence, pain treatments and pain impact. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2011; 27: 449-62.
- Breivik H, Collett B, Ventafridda V, Cohen R, Gallacher D. Survey of chronic pain in Europe: prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. *Eur J Pain* 2006; 10: 287-333.
- Langley PC. The prevalence, correlates and treatment of pain in the European Union. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2011; 27: 463-80.
- Currow DC, Agar M, Plummer JL, Blyth FM, Abernethy AP. Chronic pain in South Australia – population levels that interfere extremely with activities of daily living. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2010; 34: 232-9.
- Catala E, Reig E, Artes M, Aliaga L, Lopez JS, Segu JL. Prevalence of pain in the Spanish population: telephone survey in 5000 homes. *Eur J Pain* 2002; 6: 133-40.
- Johannes CB, Le TK, Zhou X, Johnston JA, Dworkin RH. The prevalence of chronic pain in United States adults: results of an Internet-based survey. *J Pain* 2010; 11: 1230-9.
- Skare TL, Roesler E, Bataglin F. Chronic pain in older adults – a perspective from Brazil. *Clinical Geriatrics* 2010; 18(18)-september, www.consultant360.com/articles/chronic-pain-older-adults-perspective-brazil.
- Bledowski P, Mossakowska M, Chudek J, et al. Medical, psychological and socioeconomic aspects of aging in Poland: assumptions and objectives of the PolSenior project. *Exp Gerontol* 2011; 46: 1003-9.
- Baek SR, Lim JY, Park JH, et al. Prevalence of musculoskeletal pain in an elderly Korean population: results from the Korean Longitudinal Study on Health and Aging (KLoSHA). *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2010; 51: e46-51.
- Kamaleri Y, Natvig B, Ihlebaek CM, Benth JS, Bruusgaard D. Number of pain sites is associated with demographic, lifestyle, and health-related factors in the general population. *Eur J Pain* 2008; 12: 742-8.
- Thomas E, Peat G, Harris L, Wilkie R, Croft PR. The prevalence of pain and pain interference in a general population of older adults: cross-sectional findings from the North Staffordshire Osteoarthritis Project (NorStOP). *Pain* 2004; 110: 361-8.
- Rustoen T, Wahl AK, Hanestad BR, Lerdal A, Paul S, Miaskowski C. Prevalence and characteristics of chronic pain in the general Norwegian population. *Eur J Pain* 2004; 8: 555-65.
- Parsons S, Breen A, Foster NE, et al. Prevalence and comparative troublesomeness by age of musculoskeletal pain in different body locations. *Fam Pract* 2007; 24: 308-16.
- Miro J, Paredes S, Rull M, et al. Pain in older adults: a prevalence study in the Mediterranean region of Catalonia. *Eur J Pain* 2007; 11: 83-92.
- Zyczkowska J, Szczerbinska K, Jantzi MR, Hirdes JP. Pain among the oldest old in community and institutional settings. *Pain* 2007; 129: 167-76.
- Meana M, Cho R, DesMeules M. Chronic pain: the extra burden on Canadian women. *BMC Womens Health* 2004; 4 Suppl 1: S17.
- Blyth FM, March LM, Brnabic AJ, Jorm LR, Williamson M, Cousins MJ. Chronic pain in Australia: a prevalence study. *Pain* 2001; 89: 127-34.
- Watkins EA, Phil D, Wollan PC, Melton LJ, Yawn BP. A population in pain: report from the Olmsted County health study. *Pain Med* 2008; 9: 166-74.
- Karttunen N, Lihavainen K, Sipilä S, Rantanen T, Sulkaava R, Hartikainen S. Musculoskeletal pain and use of analgesics in relation to mobility limitation among community-dwelling persons aged 75 years and older. *Eur J Pain* 2012; 16: 140-9.
- Thomas E, Boardman HF, Croft PR. Why do older people report fewer headaches? *Gerontology* 2005; 51: 322-8.
- Ramage-Morin PL. Chronic pain in Canadian seniors. *Health Rep (Statistics Canada, Catalogue 82-003)* 2008; 19: 1-16.

33. Boerlage AA, van Dijk M, Stronks DL, de Wit R, van der Rijt CC. Pain prevalence and characteristics in three Dutch residential homes. *Eur J Pain* 2008; 12: 910-6.
34. Chan A, Malhotra C, Do YK, Malhotra R, Ostbye T. Self reported pain severity among multiethnic older Singaporeans: does adjusting for reporting heterogeneity matter? *Eur J Pain* 2011; 15: 1094-9.
35. Scheele J, Enthoven WT, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, et al. Characteristics of older patients with back pain in general practice: BACE cohort study. *Eur J Pain* 2014; 18: 279-87.
36. Hasselstrom J, Liu-Palmgren J, Rasjo-Wraak G. Prevalence of pain in general practice. *Eur J Pain* 2002; 6: 375-85.
37. Tai-Seale M, Bolin J, Bao X, Street R. Management of chronic pain among older patients: inside primary care in the US. *Eur J Pain* 2011; 15: 1087.e1-8.
38. Weiner DK, Turner GH, Hennon JG, Perera S, Hartmann S. The state of chronic pain education in geriatric medicine fellowship training programs: results of a national survey. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005; 53: 1798-805.